arXiv:1110.6358v1 [math.CA] 28 Oct 2011

Bifurcations of planar Hamiltonian systems with impulsive perturbation [*]

Zhaoping Hu [a,d], Maoan Han[b,†], Valery G. Romanovski [c,d]

[a] Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, P. R. China

[b] Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai 200234, P. R. China

[c] Faculty of Natural Science and Mathematics, University of Maribor, SI-2000 Maribor, Slovenia

[d] Center for Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Maribor, SI-2000 Maribor, Slovenia

Abstract. In this paper, by means of the Melnikov functions we consider bifurcations of harmonic or subharmonic solutions from a periodic solution of a planar Hamiltonian system under impulsive perturbation. We give some sufficient conditions under which a harmonic or subharmonic solution exists.

Keywords. Hamiltonian system, impulsive differential equation, periodic solution, bifurcation

MR subject classification. 34C05, 34C23, 34C25

1 Introduction

As is known, by means of Melnikov functions or the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction, one can give sufficient conditions for a periodic orbit of an unperturbed system to generate periodic solutions under autonomous or periodic perturbations. See [2-10] for details. For example, in 1987, Wiggins and Holmes [1] studied the bifurcations of periodic orbits, subharmonic solutions and invariant tori near periodic orbits of the following three-dimensional system:

$$\dot{x} = H_y(x, y, z), \quad \dot{y} = -H_x(x, y, z), \quad \dot{z} = 0$$

under autonomous or periodic perturbations, where H is a C^r function, $r \geq 4$. In [8], M. Han etc. studied the bifurcations of periodic orbits, subharmonic solutions and small invariant tori near periodic orbits by perturbing an $n-$dimensional Hamiltonian system.

---

[*] Supported by Key Disciplines of Shanghai Municipality (S30104), National Nature Science Foundation of China (10971139), Slovenian Research Agency, and Slovene Human Resources Development and Scholarship Fund.

[†] the corresponding author

Consider the planar Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{x} = f(x), \tag{1}$$

and its perturbation

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + \varepsilon g(t, x, \varepsilon), \tag{2}$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ is small, and f and g are C^r functions with $r \geq 3$ and g is periodic in t of period T_1. For the unperturbed system (1), we make the following assumptions:

(A_1) there exists a C^r function $H(x) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, $r \geq 4$, such that

$$f(x) = (H_{x_2}(x), -H_{x_1}(x)).$$

(A_2) there exists an open interval V such that for $h \in V$, the level curve $H(x) = h$ contains a smooth closed curve L_h with period $T(h)$.

In this paper, we consider impulsive perturbations of systems (1) with the form

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) + \varepsilon g(t, x, \varepsilon), & t \neq t_k \\ x(t_k+) - x(t_k-) = \varepsilon l_k(x(t_k-), \varepsilon), & k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ is small and the assumptions $(A_1) - (A_2)$ are satisfied. We further impose the following conditions:

(C_1) $\cdots < t_{-n} < \cdots < t_{-1} < t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < \cdots$, and $t_n \to \pm\infty$ for $n \to \pm\infty$.

(C_2) There exist a constant $T_2 > 0$ and an integer $q \geq 1$ such that $t_{k+q} - t_k = T_2$ and $l_{k+q} = l_k$ for any integer k.

(C_3) $T_2/T_1 = p/s$ is rational with $p \geq 1$, $s \geq 1$ and $(p, s) = 1$.

(C_4) The functions $l_k (k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots)$ are $\mathbb{C}^r$ functions with respect to x and continuous with respect to (x, ε) for any $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$.

Let $T = pT_1 = sT_2$. From (C_2) and (C_3), we can see that system (3) is a periodic system having (the least) period T. For system (1), besides the assumption of (A_1) and (A_2), we further make the following assumption:

(A_3) there exists $h_0 \in V$, such that $\dfrac{T(h_0)}{T} = \dfrac{m}{K}$ with $m \geq 1$, $K \geq 1$ and $(m, K) = 1$.

From [13], we know that a solution $x(t, \bar{t}_0, x_0)$ of an impulsive differential equation is piece continuous in t, i.e., it is continuous in each interval (t_{k-1}, t_k), continuous from the left at the impulsive moment $t = t_k$ and has discontinuities of the first kind at $t = t_k$ for any integer k.

We have the following definition from [13]

Definition 1.1. Let $x(t, \bar{t}_0, x_0)$ denote the solution of (3) satisfying $x(\bar{t}_0, \bar{t}_0, x_0) = x_0$. If $x(t + mT\pm, \bar{t}_0, x_0) = x(t\pm, \bar{t}_0, x_0)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for some integer $m \geq 1$, that is, $x(t, \bar{t}_0, x_0)$ is of period mT in t, then the solution is said to be harmonic (or subharmonic of order m) if $m = 1$ (or $m > 1$).

There have been many studies on impulsive differential systems, see[11-13]. However, there are few results on studying bifurcations of periodic solutions of impulsive differential system. In [14], we studied the periodic solution and its bifurcations of one dimensional periodic impulsive differential systems by using Poincare map. In this paper, we will use Melnikov function to study harmonic or subharmonic solutions of two dimensional impulsive differential system (3).

We organize the paper as follows. In section 2 we give some preliminary lemmas, and study in detail the solution of system (3) with the initial value condition. In section 3 we state the main results of the paper with their proofs. In section 4 we provide a simple example to demonstrate how the methods works.

2 Preliminaries

Suppose that assumptions $(A_1) - (A_3)$ and conditions $(C_1) - (C_4)$ are satisfied. Then, we consider the existence of $mT-$periodic solution of system (3) near L_{h_0}. We will introduce new coordinates around L_h by using its time-parameter representation. Note that for each $h \in V$, L_h is periodic. We suppose that L_h has the representation

$$L_h : x = q(t, h), \ \ 0 \leq t \leq T(h).$$

We rescale the first variable of q introducing

$$G(\theta, h) = q\Big(\frac{\theta}{\Omega(h)}, h\Big), \ \ 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, \tag{4}$$

where $\Omega(h) = 2\pi/T(h)$. Then G is of C^r, $2\pi-$periodic in θ and satisfies

$$H(G(\theta, h)) \equiv h. \tag{5}$$

Differentiating (5) in θ and h separately we have

$$DH(G)D_\theta G = 0, \tag{6}$$

$$DH(G)D_h G = 1. \tag{7}$$

Note that q is a solution of (1). From (4) we have

$$D_\theta G = f(G)/\Omega(h), \tag{8}$$

where the partial derivatives D_hG and $D_\theta G$ of the vertical vector G in h and θ separately are 2×1 vectors. From [8] we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *There exists a unique* 1×2 *vector* $\alpha(\theta, h)$ *which is* C^r *in* (θ, h) *and* $2\pi-$*periodic in* θ *for* $h \in V$ *and* $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$, *such that*

$$\alpha(\theta, h) D_h G(\theta, h) = 0, \quad \alpha(\theta, h) D_\theta G(\theta, h) = 1. \tag{9}$$

In fact, let $D_hG(\theta, h) = \Big(g_1(\theta, h), g_2(\theta, h)\Big)^\top$. Then, by the first equation of (9) we can set

$$\alpha(\theta, h) = \tilde{k}(\theta, h)\Big(- g_2(\theta, h), g_1(\theta, h)\Big) \tag{10}$$

with a real number $\tilde{k}(\theta, h)$ for any $(\theta, h) \in [0, 2\pi] \times V$. Substituting (10) into the second equation of (9), we have

$$\tilde{k}(\theta, h) = \Big[\Big(- g_2(\theta, h), g_1(\theta, h)\Big) D_\theta G(\theta, h)\Big]^{-1}$$

and

$$\alpha(\theta, h) = \Big[\Big(- g_2(\theta, h), g_1(\theta, h)\Big) D_\theta G(\theta, h)\Big]^{-1} \Big(- g_2(\theta, h), g_1(\theta, h)\Big). \tag{11}$$

For the impulsive differential system (3) we have

Lemma 2.2. *The periodic transformation*

$$x = G(\theta, h), \ 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, h \in V \tag{12}$$

transforms system (3) *into the system*

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\theta} = \Omega(h) + \varepsilon \alpha(\theta, h) g(t, G(\theta, h), \varepsilon), & \\ \dot{h} = \varepsilon DH(G(\theta, h)) g(t, G(\theta, h), \varepsilon), & t \neq t_k \\ \theta_k^+ - \theta_k = \varepsilon \alpha(\theta_k, h_k) l_k(G(\theta_k, h_k), 0) + O(\varepsilon^2), & \\ h_k^+ - h_k = \varepsilon DH(G(\theta_k, h_k)) l_k(G(\theta_k, h_k), 0) + O(\varepsilon^2), \quad k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots, & \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

where $\theta_k^+ = \theta(t_k+)$, $h_k^+ = h(t_k+)$, $\theta_k = \theta(t_k) = \theta(t_k-)$ *and* $h_k = h(t_k) = h(t_k-)$.

Proof. We prove the lemma following the idea of lemma 1.2 of [8]. When $t \neq t_k$, differentiating both sides of equation (12) with respect to t, and using (3) we have

$$D_\theta G \cdot \dot{\theta} + D_h G \cdot \dot{h} = f(G) + \varepsilon g(t, G, \varepsilon). \tag{14}$$

Multiplying both sides of (14) from the left by $DH(G)$ and using $(7)-(8)$, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{h} &= DH(G)\cdot f(G)+\varepsilon DH(G(\theta,h))g(t,G(\theta,h),\varepsilon)\\ &= \varepsilon DH(G(\theta,h))g(t,G(\theta,h),\varepsilon).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, multiplying both sides of (14) from the left by $\alpha(\theta,h)$ and using Lemma 1.1 and (8), we have

$$\dot{\theta}=\Omega(h)+\varepsilon\alpha(\theta,h)g(t,G(\theta,h),\varepsilon).$$

For any $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, when $t=t_k$ we have $x(t_k-)=G(\theta_k,h_k)$ and $x(t_k+)=G(\theta_k^+,h_k^+)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}x(t_k+)-x(t_k-) &= G(\theta_k^+,h_k^+)-G(\theta_k,h_k)\\ &= D_\theta G(\theta_k,h_k)\Delta\theta_k+D_hG(\theta_k,h_k)\Delta h_k+O(|\Delta\theta_k,\Delta h_k|^2),\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta\theta_k=\theta_k^+-\theta_k$ and $\Delta h_k=h_k^+-h_k$.

On the other hand

$$x(t_k+)-x(t_k-)=\varepsilon l_k(x(t_k-),\varepsilon)=\varepsilon l_k(G(\theta_k,h_k),\varepsilon).$$

Hence, we conclude that

$$D_\theta G(\theta_k,h_k)\Delta\theta_k+D_hG(\theta_k,h_k)\Delta h_k+O(|\Delta\theta_k,\Delta h_k|^2)=\varepsilon l_k(G(\theta_k,h_k),\varepsilon). \tag{15}$$

Multiplying both sides of (15) from the left by $DH(G(\theta_k,h_k))$ and using $(6)-(7)$, we obtain

$$\Delta h_k+O(|\Delta\theta_k,\Delta h_k|^2)=\varepsilon DH(G(\theta_k,h_k))l_k(G(\theta_k,h_k),\varepsilon). \tag{16}$$

Similarly, multiplying both sides of (15) from the left by $\alpha(\theta_k,h_k)$ and using Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\Delta\theta_k+O(|\Delta\theta_k,\Delta h_k|^2)=\varepsilon\alpha(\theta_k,h_k)l_k(G(\theta_k,h_k),\varepsilon). \tag{17}$$

From (16) and (17), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta\theta_k=\theta_k^+-\theta_k=\varepsilon\alpha(\theta_k,h_k)l_k(G(\theta_k,h_k),0)+O(\varepsilon^2),\\ &\Delta h_k=h_k^+-h_k=\varepsilon DH(G(\theta_k,h_k))l_k(G(\theta_k,h_k),0)+O(\varepsilon^2).\end{aligned}$$

□

Under the hypothesis $(C1)-(C4)$, the solution of the of initial value problem for system (13) is unique. By lemma 2.2, under the periodic transformation (12), system (3) is transformed into (13). When $\varepsilon=0$, system (13) becomes

$$\dot{\theta}=\Omega(h),\quad \dot{h}=0. \tag{18}$$

Then, the solution of system (18) satisfying the initial value $\tilde{\theta}(\bar{t}_0) = 0,\ \tilde{h}(\bar{t}_0) = r$ is given by

$$\tilde{\theta}(t) = \Omega(r)(t - \bar{t}_0),\ \ \tilde{h}(t) \equiv r.$$

For convenience, we suppose that $t_0 < \bar{t}_0 \leq t_1$. Let

$$\theta_k^* = \tilde{\theta}(t_k) = \Omega(r)(t_k - \bar{t}_0),\ h_k^* = \tilde{h}(t_k) \equiv r$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $(\theta(t, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon), h(t, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon))(t \geq \bar{t}_0)$ be the solution of system (13) satisfying the initial value problem

$$\theta(\bar{t}_0, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon) = 0,\ h(\bar{t}_0, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon) = r.$$

Let $(\bar{\theta}(t, \tau, \theta, r, \varepsilon), \bar{h}(t, \tau, \theta, r, \varepsilon))(t \geq \tau)$ be the solution of the system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\theta} = \Omega(h) + \varepsilon\alpha(\theta, h)g(t, G(\theta, h), \varepsilon), \\ \dot{h} = \varepsilon DH(G(\theta, h))g(t, G(\theta, h), \varepsilon) \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

satisfying the initial value

$$\bar{\theta}(\tau, \tau, \theta, r, \varepsilon) = \theta,\ \bar{h}(\tau, \tau, \theta, r, \varepsilon) = r.$$

Then, obviously, for $\bar{t}_0 < t \leq t_1$ we have

$$\theta(t, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon) = \bar{\theta}(t, \bar{t}_0, 0, r, \varepsilon),\ h(t, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon) = \bar{h}(t, \bar{t}_0, 0, r, \varepsilon).$$

Moreover, the solution $(\bar{\theta}(t, \bar{t}_0, 0, r, \varepsilon), \bar{h}(t, \bar{t}_0, 0, r, \varepsilon))(t > \bar{t}_0)$ has the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\theta}(t, \bar{t}_0, 0, r, \varepsilon) &= \Omega(r)(t - \bar{t}_0) + \varepsilon\hat{\theta}_1(t, \bar{t}_0, r) + O(\varepsilon^2), \\ \bar{h}(t, \bar{t}_0, 0, r, \varepsilon) &= r + \varepsilon\hat{h}_1(t, \bar{t}_0, r) + O(\varepsilon^2) \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

for $|\varepsilon|$ small enough, where $\hat{\theta}_1(t, \bar{t}_0, r) = \dfrac{\partial\bar{\theta}}{\partial\varepsilon}(t, \bar{t}_0, 0, r, 0)$ and $\hat{h}_1(t, \bar{t}_0, r) = \dfrac{\partial\bar{\theta}}{\partial h}(t, \bar{t}_0, 0, r, 0)$.

Notice that

$$\Omega(h) = \Omega(r) + \Omega'(r)(h - r) + O(|h - r|^2).$$

Substituting the above and (20) into (19) and comparing the coefficients of ε on both sides of the equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_1 &= \Omega'(r)\hat{h}_1 + \alpha\Big(\Omega(r)(t - \bar{t}_0), r\Big)g\Big(t, G(\Omega(r)(t - \bar{t}_0), r), 0\Big), \\ \dot{\hat{h}}_1 &= DH\Big(G(\Omega(r)(t - \bar{t}_0), r)\Big)g\Big(t, G(\Omega(r)(t - \bar{t}_0), r), 0\Big), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{h}_1(t,\bar{t}_0,r) &= \int_{\bar{t}_0}^{t} DH(G(\Omega(r)(s-\bar{t}_0),r))g(s,G(\Omega(r)(s-\bar{t}_0),r),0)ds \\ &= \int_{\bar{t}_0}^{t} DH(q(s-\bar{t}_0,r))g(s,q(s-\bar{t}_0,r),0)ds \qquad (21)\end{aligned}$$

for $t\in(\bar{t}_0,t_1]$.

Consequently, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_1 &= \theta(t_1,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon)=\Omega(r)(t_1-\bar{t}_0)+\varepsilon\hat{\theta}_1(t_1,\bar{t}_0,r)+O(\varepsilon^2), \\ h_1 &= h(t_1,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon)=r+\varepsilon\hat{h}_1(t_1,\bar{t}_0,r)+O(\varepsilon^2). \qquad (22)\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $\theta_1=\Omega(r)(t_1-\bar{t}_0)+O(\varepsilon)=\theta_1^*+O(\varepsilon)$ and $h_1=r+O(\varepsilon)$. By (22) and lemma 2.2, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_1^+ &= \theta(t_1+,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon)=\theta_1+\varepsilon\alpha(\theta_1,h_1)l_1(G(\theta_1,h_1),0)+O(\varepsilon^2) \\ &= \Omega(r)(t_1-\bar{t}_0)+\varepsilon\Big[\hat{\theta}_1(t_1,\bar{t}_0,r)+\alpha(\theta_1^*,r)l_1(G(\theta_1^*,r),0)\Big]+O(\varepsilon^2) \qquad (23)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}h_1^+ &= h(t_1+,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon)=h_1+\varepsilon DH(G(\theta_1,h_1))l_1(G(\theta_1,h_1),0)+O(\varepsilon^2) \\ &= r+\varepsilon\Big[\hat{h}_1(t_1,\bar{t}_0,r)+DH(G(\theta_1^*,r))l_1(G(\theta_1^*,r),0)\Big]+O(\varepsilon^2). \qquad (24)\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $t_1<t\le t_2$, the solution $(\theta(t,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon),h(t,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon))$ is equal to the solution

$$(\bar{\theta}(t,t_1,\theta_1^+,h_1^+,\varepsilon),\bar{h}(t,t_1,\theta_1^+,h_1^+,\varepsilon))$$

of (19). In other words, the solution $(\theta(t,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon),h(t,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon))$ for $t_1<t\le t_2$ is determined by the value $(\theta_1^+,\ h_1^+)$. It follows that $(\theta_2,h_2)\equiv(\theta(t_2,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon),h(t_2,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon))$ is also determined by the value $(\theta_1^+,\ h_1^+)$. From the third and fourth equations of system (13), we know that $(\theta_2^+,h_2^+)\equiv(\theta(t_2+,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon),h(t_2+,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon))$ is also determined by the value $(\theta_1^+,\ h_1^+)$.

Similarly as above, the value $(\theta_{k+1}^+,h_{k+1}^+)\equiv(\theta(t_{k+1}+,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon),h(t_{k+1}+,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon))$ is uniquely determined by the value $(\theta_k^+,\ h_k^+)\equiv(\theta(t_k+,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon),h(t_k+,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon))$. Now, $(\theta_1^+,\ h_1^+)$ is obtained already. If we can find an explicit relation between the value $(\theta_{k+1}^+,h_{k+1}^+)$ and $(\theta_k^+,\ h_k^+)$, then we will know all the values of $(\theta_k^+,\ h_k^+)$ for $k\ge1$ by induction.

Let $\theta(t_k+,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon)\triangleq\theta_k^+$, $h(t_k+,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon))\triangleq h_k^+$. By definition of solutions (θ,h) and $(\bar{\theta},\bar{h})$, for $t_k<t\le t_{k+1}$ we have

$$(\theta(t,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon),h(t,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon))=(\bar{\theta}(t,t_k,\theta_k^+,h_k^+,\varepsilon),\bar{h}(t,t_k,\theta_k^+,h_k^+,\varepsilon)).$$

As above, for $t_k < t \le t_{k+1}$, the solution $(\theta(t,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon), h(t,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon))$ has an expansion of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(t,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon) &= \theta_k^+ + \Omega(r)(t-t_k) + \varepsilon\hat{\theta}_{k+1}(t,t_k,r) + O(\varepsilon^2),\\ h(t,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon) &= h_k^+ + \varepsilon\hat{h}_{k+1}(t,t_k,r) + O(\varepsilon^2)\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

for ε small, where $\hat{\theta}_{k+1}(t,t_k,r) = \dfrac{\partial\bar{\theta}}{\partial\varepsilon}(t,t_k,\theta_k^+,h_k^+,0)$, $\hat{h}_{k+1}(t,t_k,r) = \dfrac{\partial\bar{h}}{\partial\varepsilon}(t,t_k,\theta_k^+,h_k^+,0)$.

In the same way, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\hat{\theta}}_{k+1} &= \Omega'(r)\hat{h}_{k+1} + \alpha(\Omega(r)(t-\bar{t}_0),r)g(t,G(\Omega(r)(t-\bar{t}_0),r),0),\\ \dot{\hat{h}}_{k+1} &= DH(G(\Omega(r)(t-\bar{t}_0),r))g(t,G(\Omega(r)(t-\bar{t}_0),r),0),\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\hat{h}_{k+1}(t,t_k,r) = \int_{t_k}^{t} DH(q(s-\bar{t}_0,r))g(s,q(s-\bar{t}_0,r),0)ds \tag{26}$$

for $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$.

From (25), we obtain

$$\theta_{k+1} = \theta(t_{k+1},\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon) = \theta_k^+ + \Omega(r)(t_{k+1}-t_k) + \varepsilon\hat{\theta}_{k+1}(t_{k+1},t_k,r) + O(\varepsilon^2) \tag{27}$$

and

$$h_{k+1} = h(t_{k+1},\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon) = h_k^+ + \varepsilon\hat{h}_{k+1}(t_{k+1},t_k,r) + O(\varepsilon^2). \tag{28}$$

By lemma 2.2, using (27) $-$ (28) and noting that $\theta_k = \theta_k^* + O(\varepsilon)$ and $h_k = r + O(\varepsilon)$ for $|\varepsilon|$ small enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{k+1}^+ &= \theta(t_{k+1+},\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon)\\ &= \theta_{k+1}^- + \varepsilon\alpha(\theta_k^-,h_k^-)l_k(G(\theta_k^-,h_k^-,0))\\ &= \theta_k^+ + \Omega(r)(t_{k+1}-t_k) + \varepsilon\Big[\alpha(\theta_k^*,r)l_k(G(\theta_k^*,r),0) + \hat{\theta}_{k+1}(t_{k+1},t_k,r)\Big] + O(\varepsilon^2)\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}h_{k+1}^+ &= h(t_{k+1}+,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon)\\ &= h_{k+1} + \varepsilon DH\Big(G(\theta_k,h_k)\Big)l_k(G(\theta_k,h_k),0) + O(\varepsilon^2)\\ &= h_k^+ + \varepsilon\Big[\hat{h}_{k+1}(t_{k+1},t_k,r) + DH\Big(G(\theta_k^*,r)\Big)l_k(G(\theta_k^*,r),0)\Big] + O(\varepsilon^2).\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

The above two equations give a relation between (θ_k^+,h_k^+) and $(\theta_{k+1}^+,h_{k+1}^+)$. By induction, we can obtain (θ_n^+,h_n^+) for any $n \ge 1$ easily. For any $t \in (t_n,t_{n+1}](n \ge 1)$ we know that

$$(\theta(t,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon), h(t,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon)) = (\bar{\theta}(t,t_n,\theta_n^+,h_n^+,\varepsilon), \bar{h}(t,t_n,\theta_n^+,h_n^+,\varepsilon)).$$

In fact, for the solution $(\theta(t,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon), h(t,\bar{t}_0,r,\varepsilon))(t \ge \bar{t}_0)$ we have

Lemma 2.3. *For any $t \in (t_n, t_{n+1}]$ with $n \geq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(t, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon) &= \Omega(r)(t - \bar{t}_0) + \varepsilon \bar{N}(t, \bar{t}_0, r) + O(\varepsilon^2), \\ h(t, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon) &= r + \varepsilon \bar{M}(t, \bar{t}_0, r) + O(\varepsilon^2) \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{M}(t, \bar{t}_0, r) &= \int_{\bar{t}_0}^{t} DH(q(s - \bar{t}_0, r)) g(s, q(s - \bar{t}_0, r), 0) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n} DH(G(\theta_k^*, r)) l_k(G(\theta_k^*, r), 0) \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

and

$$\bar{N}(t, \bar{t}_0, r) = N_n(\bar{t}_0, r) + \hat{\theta}_{n+1}(t, t_n, r), \tag{33}$$

where

$$N_n(\bar{t}_0, r) = \hat{\theta}_1(t_1, \bar{t}_0, r) + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \hat{\theta}_k(t_k, t_{k-1}, r) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha(\theta_k^*, r) l_k(G(\theta_k^*, r), 0). \tag{34}$$

Proof. Combining (23) − (24) and (29) − (30), for any $n \geq 1$ by induction we can obtain $(\theta_n^+, h_n^+) = (\theta(t_n+, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon), h(t_n+, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon))$ as follows

$$\theta_n^+ = \theta(t_n+, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon) = \Omega(r)(t_n - \bar{t}_0) + \varepsilon N_n(\bar{t}_0, r) + O(\varepsilon^2) \tag{35}$$

and

$$h_n^+ = h(t_n+, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon) = r + \varepsilon M_n(\bar{t}_0, r) + O(\varepsilon^2) \tag{36}$$

with

$$M_n(\bar{t}_0, r) = \hat{h}_1(t_1, \bar{t}_0, r) + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \hat{h}_k(t_k, t_{k-1}, r) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} DH(G((\theta_k^*, r))) l_k(G(\theta_k^*, r), 0), \tag{37}$$

where $\hat{\theta}_k(t, t_{k-1}, r) = \dfrac{\partial \bar{\theta}}{\partial \varepsilon}(t, t_{k-1}, \theta_{k-1}^+, h_{k-1}^+, 0)$ and $\hat{h}_k(t, t_{k-1}, r) = \dfrac{\partial \bar{h}}{\partial \varepsilon}(t, t_{k-1}, \theta_{k-1}^+, h_{k-1}^+, 0)$.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_k &= \Omega'(r) \hat{h}_k + \alpha(\Omega(r)(t - \bar{t}_0), r) g(t, G(\Omega(r)(t - \bar{t}_0), r), 0), \\ \dot{\hat{h}}_k &= DH(G(\Omega(r)(t - \bar{t}_0), r)) g(t, G(\Omega(r)(t - \bar{t}_0), r), 0), \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

which gives

$$\hat{h}_k(t, t_{k-1}, r) = \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t} DH(q(s - \bar{t}_0), r) g(s, q(s - \bar{t}_0, r), 0) ds \tag{39}$$

for $t \in (t_{k-1}, t_k]$.

Moreover, for any $t \in (t_n, t_{n+1}] (n \geq 1)$ we know that the solution $(\theta(t, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon), h(t, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon))$ equals to the solution $(\bar{\theta}(t, t_n, \theta_n^+, h_n^+, \varepsilon), \bar{h}(t, t_n, \theta_n^+, h_n^+, \varepsilon))$ of system (19). Therefore, for $t \in (t_n, t_{n+1}] (n \geq 1)$ we have

$$\theta(t, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon) = \theta_n^+ + \Omega(r)(t - t_n) + \varepsilon \hat{\theta}_{n+1}(t, t_n, r) + O(\varepsilon^2) \tag{40}$$

and

$$h(t, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon) = h_n^+ + \varepsilon \hat{h}_{n+1}(t, t_n, r) + O(\varepsilon^2). \tag{41}$$

Substituting (35) – (39) into (40) – (41), we can easily derive (31). □

Therefore, we have obtained the solution $(\theta(t, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon), h(t, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon))(t \geq \bar{t}_0)$ of the impulsive differential equation (13) satisfying the initial value $(\theta_0, h_0) = (0, r)$ at $t = \bar{t}_0$ with $t_0 < \bar{t}_0 \leq t_1$. It is easy to see that the solution is unique for $t \geq \bar{t}_0$. Note that the function $x + \varepsilon l_k(x, \varepsilon)$ is monotonically increasing with respect to x for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ for ε small. Hence, the solution can be well-defined for $t < \bar{t}_0$. Thus for any initial value $(\theta_0, h_0) = (0, r)$ at $t = \bar{t}_0$ with $t_0 < \bar{t}_0 \leq t_1$, we can obtain a unique solution $(\theta(t, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon), h(t, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon))$ $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ of system (13). When we discuss the existence of $T-$periodic(or $mT-$periodic) solutions of the system (13), we only need to consider the periodicity of the solution $(\theta(t, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon), h(t, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon))$ for $t \geq \bar{t}_0$.

Moreover, if $\bar{t}_0 \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$ with some $k \in \mathbb{Z}/\{0\}$, then we can similarly obtain the solution of system (13) with initial value $(\theta_0, h_0) = (0, r)$ at $t = \bar{t}_0$.

3 Main Results

In this section, using the lemmas obtained in section 2, we study the existence of harmonic or subharmonic solutions of system (13).

Set

$$\Sigma_{\bar{t}_0} = \Big\{(t, \theta, h) : t = \bar{t}_0 (mod\, T), 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, h \in V\Big\}.$$

Introduce the Poincare map of the system (13) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} &P_{\varepsilon, \bar{t}_0} : \Sigma_{\bar{t}_0} \to \Sigma_{\bar{t}_0}, \\ &P_{\varepsilon, \bar{t}_0}(\theta_0, h_0) = (\theta^*(\bar{t}_0 + T, \bar{t}_0, \theta_0, h_0), h^*(\bar{t}_0 + T, \bar{t}_0, \theta_0, h_0)), \end{aligned}$$

where $(\theta^*(t, \bar{t}_0, \theta_0, h_0), h^*(t, \bar{t}_0, \theta_0, h_0))$ is the solution of impulsive system (13) with initial value (θ_0, h_0) at $t = \bar{t}_0$. Denote by $P^m_{\varepsilon, \bar{t}_0}$ the mth iteration of $P_{\varepsilon, \bar{t}_0}$.

Taking $(\theta_0, h_0) = (0, r)$ we have $\theta^*(t, \bar{t}_0, 0, r) = \theta(t, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon)$, $h^*(t, \bar{t}_0, 0, r) = h(t, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon)$. As we know, $mT = msT_1 = ms(t_{k+q} - t_k)$ for any integer k. So we have $mT = t_{msq} - t_0$ and $\bar{t}_0 + mT \in (t_{msq}, t_{msq+1}]$ for $\bar{t}_0 \in (t_0, t_1]$. Applying $\theta^*_k = \Omega(r)(t_k - \bar{t}_0)$ for any integer k, by lemma 2.3 we have

$$\theta(\bar{t}_0 + mT, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon) = \Omega(r)mT + \varepsilon N(\bar{t}_0, r) + O(\varepsilon^2) \tag{42}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} N(\bar{t}_0, r) \;\; &= \;\; \hat{\theta}_1(t_1, \bar{t}_0, r) + \sum_{k=2}^{msq} \hat{\theta}_k(t_k, t_{k-1}, r) + \hat{\theta}_{npq+1}(\bar{t}_0 + pT, t_{npq}, r) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{msq} \alpha\Big(\Omega(r)(t_k - \bar{t}_0), r\Big) l_k\Big(q(t_k - \bar{t}_0, r), 0\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

and

$$h(\bar{t}_0 + mT, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon) = r + \varepsilon M(\bar{t}_0, r) + O(\varepsilon^2) \tag{44}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} M(\bar{t}_0, r) \;\; &= \;\; \int_{\bar{t}_0}^{\bar{t}_0 + mT} DH(q(s - \bar{t}_0, r)) g(s, q(s - \bar{t}_0, r), 0) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{msq} DH(G(\theta^*_k, r)) l_k(G(\theta^*_k, r), 0) \\ &= \;\; \int_0^{mT} DH(q(s, r)) g(s - \bar{t}_0, q(s, r), 0) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{msq} DH(q(t_k - \bar{t}_0, r)) l_k(q(t_k - \bar{t}_0, r), 0). \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Similarly, for $t \in (t_{j-1}, t_j]$ with an integer $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(\bar{t}_0, r) \;\; &= \;\; \int_0^{mT} DH(q(s, r)) g(s - \bar{t}_0, q(s, r), 0) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=j}^{msq+j} DH(q(t_k - \bar{t}_0, r)) l_k(q(t_k - \bar{t}_0, r), 0). \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Further, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that the assumptions $(A_1) - (A_3)$ and the conditions $(C_1) - (C_4)$ are satisfied. Then the function $M(\bar{t}_0, h_0)$ is periodic of period T in $\bar{t}_0$.*

Proof. By [8] we know that the function

$$\int_0^{mT} DH(q(s, h_0)) g(s - \bar{t}_0, q(s, h_0), 0) ds$$

is periodic and both $T(h_0)$ and $T(h)$ are its periods. Therefore, we need only to prove that the function

$$\Delta(\bar{t}_0) \triangleq \sum_{k=j}^{msq+j} DH(q(t_k - \bar{t}_0, r))l_k(q(t_k - \bar{t}_0, r), 0),\ t_{j-1} < \bar{t}_0 \leq t_j$$

is periodic and has T as its period.

For any $\bar{t}_0 \in (t_{j-1}, t_j]$ with $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, by $T = sT_1 = s(t_{k+q} - t_k)$ for any integer k, we know that $\bar{t}_0 + T \in (t_{sq+j-1}, t_{sq+j}]$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(\bar{t}_0 + T) &= \sum_{k=sq+j}^{(m+1)sq+j} DH(q(t_k - \bar{t}_0 - T, h_0))l_k(q(t_k - \bar{t}_0 - T, h_0), 0) \\
&= \sum_{k=j}^{msq+j} DH(q(t_k - \bar{t}_0, h_0))l_k(q(t_k - \bar{t}_0, h_0), 0) \\
&= \Delta(\bar{t}_0). \qquad (47)
\end{aligned}$$

That is, the function Δ is periodic and T is its period. □

As the result, from (42) – (46) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(P^m_{\varepsilon,\bar{t}_0} - Id)(0, r) &= \Big(\theta(\bar{t}_0 + mT, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon), h(\theta(\bar{t}_0 + mT, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon)) - r\Big) \\
&= (\Omega(r)mT, 0) + \varepsilon(N(\bar{t}_0, r), M(\bar{t}_0, r)) + O(\varepsilon^2). \qquad (48)
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\dfrac{T(h_0)}{T} = \dfrac{m}{K}$ and $\Omega(h_0) = 2\pi/T(h_0)$. It follows

$$\Omega(h_0)mT = 0(mod\, 2\pi), \quad mT = 0(mod\, T).$$

Hence, from (48), for small $\varepsilon \neq 0$ and $|r - h_0|$ small $(0, r)$ is a fixed point of $P^m_{\varepsilon,\bar{t}_0}$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_1(\bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon) = \Omega'(h_0)(r - h_0) + O(|r - h_0|^2) + \varepsilon N(\bar{t}_0, r) + O(\varepsilon^2) = 0, \\
&F_2(\bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon) = M(\bar{t}_0, r) + O(\varepsilon) = 0.
\end{aligned} \qquad (49)$$

By [13], we know that a solution $x(t, \bar{t}_0, r, \varepsilon)$ of system (3) is an $mT-$periodic solution if and only if $(0, r)$ is a fixed point of $P^m_{\varepsilon,\bar{t}_0}$. Therefore, we can now prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that the assumptions* $(A_1) - (A_3)$ *and the conditions* $(C_1) - (C_4)$ *are satisfied. Then*

(1) *For small* $\varepsilon \neq 0$ *a necessary condition for the periodic orbit* L_{h_0} *to generate a subharmonic solution of order* m *of the system* (3) *is that there exists* $t_0^* \in [0, T]$ *such that*

$$M(t_0^*, h_0) = 0. \qquad (50)$$

(2) *Suppose that* (50) *is satisfied. Let further*

$$\Omega'(h_0) \neq 0, \quad \frac{\partial M(t_0^*, h_0)}{\partial r} \neq 0. \tag{51}$$

Then, for any small $\varepsilon \neq 0$ *the system* (3) *has a subharmonic (or harmonic) solution* $x_\varepsilon(t)$ *of order* $m > 1$ *(or* $m = 1$*) with the property*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} x_\varepsilon(t) = q(t - t_0^*, h_0). \tag{52}$$

Proof. The conclusion (1) follows directly from the second equation of Eq. (49).

(2) By (49) we have

$$F_1(t_0^*, h_0, 0) = F_2(t_0^*, h_0, 0) = 0$$

and

$$\frac{\partial(F_1, F_2)}{\partial(t_0, r)}\Big|_{(t_0,r,\varepsilon)=(t_0^*,h_0,0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Omega'(r) \\ \frac{\partial M}{\partial t_0} & \frac{\partial M}{\partial r} \end{pmatrix}_{(t_0^*,h_0)}.$$

Inequalities (51) imply that the determinant of the Jacobian of (F_1, F_2) with respect to (t_0, r) at $(t_0^*, h_0, 0)$ is not zero. Hence, from the implicit function theorem we know that there exist neighborhoods U_0 of (t_0^*, h_0) and V_0 of $\varepsilon = 0$ such that for each $\varepsilon \in V_0$ (49) has a unique solution

$$(t_0, r) = (t_0(\varepsilon), r(\varepsilon)) = (t_0^*, h_0) + O(\varepsilon).$$

Substituting the above into (37) and (39), we see that system (13) has a subharmonic solution of order m of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\varepsilon(t) &\equiv \theta(t, t_0(\varepsilon), r(\varepsilon)) = \Omega(h_0)(t - t_0^*) + O(\varepsilon), \\ h_\varepsilon(t) &\equiv h(t, t_0(\varepsilon), r(\varepsilon)) = h_0 + O(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Then inserting the above into (12) and using (4), we find that system (3) has a subharmonic solution of order m of the form

$$x_\varepsilon(t) \equiv G(\theta_\varepsilon(t), h_\varepsilon(t)) = G(\Omega(h_0)(t - t_0^*), h_0) + O(\varepsilon) = q(t - t_0^*, h_0) + O(\varepsilon),$$

which yields (52). □

Now suppose the period $T(h) = T_0$ is a constant. Then $\Omega(h) \equiv 2\pi/T_0$ and $\Omega'(h) = 0$. Therefore, the above theorem is not valid in this case. Note that the first equation of (38) becomes

$$\dot{\hat{\theta}}_k = \alpha\Big(\frac{2\pi}{T_0}(t - \bar{t}_0), r\Big) g(t, q(t - \bar{t}_0, r), 0), \tag{53}$$

which gives

$$\hat{\theta}_k(t, t_{k-1}, r) = \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t} \alpha\Big(\frac{2\pi}{T_0}(s - \bar{t}_0), r\Big) g(s, q(s - \bar{t}_0, r), 0) ds \tag{54}$$

for $t \in (t_{k-1}, t_k]$. Then, by (43) we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(\bar{t}_0, r) &= \int_{\bar{t}_0}^{\bar{t}_0+mT} \alpha\Big(\frac{2\pi}{T_0}(s - \bar{t}_0), r\Big) g(s, q(s - \bar{t}_0, r), 0) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{msq} \alpha\Big(\frac{2\pi}{T_0}(t_k - \bar{t}_0), r\Big) l_k(q(t_k - \bar{t}_0, r), 0) \\ &= \int_{0}^{mT} \alpha\Big(\frac{2\pi}{T_0} s, r\Big) g(s, q(s, r), 0) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{msq} \alpha\Big(\frac{2\pi}{T_0}(t_k - \bar{t}_0), r\Big) l_k(q(t_k - \bar{t}_0, r), 0). \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Similarly, for $\bar{t}_0 \in (t_{j-1}, t_j]$ with $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(\bar{t}_0, r) &= \int_{0}^{mT} \alpha\Big(\frac{2\pi}{T_0} s, r\Big) g(s, q(s, r), 0) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=j}^{msq+j} \alpha\Big(\frac{2\pi}{T_0}(t_k - \bar{t}_0), r\Big) l_k(q(t_k - \bar{t}_0, r), 0). \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

By the above equation, we can also prove that the function $N(\bar{t}_0, h_0)$ has the same property as $M(\bar{t}_0, r)$. Using (49) we can prove the following theorem similarly following [8].

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that for any $h \in V$,*

$$T(h) = T_0, \ \frac{T_0}{T} = \frac{m}{K}.$$

(1) *For small $\varepsilon \neq 0$ a necessary condition for system (3) to have a subharmonic solution of order m of is that there exist $t_0^* \in [0, T_0]$ and $h_0 \in V$ such that*

$$M(t_0^*, h_0) = 0, \ \ N(t_0^*, h_0) = 0. \tag{57}$$

(2) *Suppose that (57) is satisfied. Let the 2×2 determinant*

$$J = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial M}{\partial t_0} & \frac{\partial M}{\partial t_0} \\ \frac{\partial N}{\partial t_0} & \frac{\partial N}{\partial r} \end{vmatrix}_{(t_0^*, h_0)} \neq 0. \tag{58}$$

Then, for any small $\varepsilon \neq 0$ the system (3) has a subharmonic (or harmonic) solution $x_\varepsilon(t)$ of order $m > 1$ (or $m = 1$) with the property

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} x_\varepsilon(t) = q(t - t_0^*, h_0).$$

Remark 3.1. *In this two theorems, if there are k isolated values of (t_0^*, h_0) satisfying the conclusions, then there will be k subharmonic (or harmonic) solutions with the corresponding property.*

4 Application

Example 4.1. As a simple application of the above theorem, we consider the system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = y, & \\ \dot{y} = -x + \varepsilon y, & t \neq 2k\pi \\ x(2k\pi+) - x(2k\pi-) = \varepsilon\pi x^2(2k\pi-), & \\ y(2k\pi+) - y(2k\pi-) = \varepsilon\pi y^2(2k\pi-), & k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots . \end{cases} \tag{59}$$

As we know, without impulsive terms there is no periodic solution of system (59) for $\varepsilon \neq 0$ small. However, we will prove that there may be one or more periodic solutions of the system under impulsive perturbation.

We have that

$$H(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2), \ \ T = T_1 = T_2 = 2\pi,$$

$$t_k = 2k\pi, \ \ k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$$

$$l_k(x,y,\varepsilon) = \pi(x^2, y^2)^\top, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For any $h > 0$, $L_h = \{(x,y) : H(x,y) = h\}$ is a closed orbit with the period $T(h) = 2\pi = T$. This closed orbit can be expressed as

$$(x,y)^\top = q(t,h) = \sqrt{2h}(\cos t, \sin t)^\top, \ \ 0 \leq t \leq 2\pi. \tag{60}$$

Moreover,

$$G(\theta,h) = \sqrt{2h}(\cos\theta, \sin\theta)^\top, \ \ h > 0,$$

$$\alpha(\theta,h) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2h}}(-\sin\theta, \cos\theta),$$

$$DH(G) = \sqrt{2h}(\cos\theta, \sin\theta),$$

$$D_\theta G = \sqrt{2h}(-\sin\theta, \cos\theta)^\top, \ \ D_h G = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2h}}(\cos\theta, \sin\theta),$$

$$g(t, G(\theta,h), \varepsilon) = \sqrt{2h}(0, \sin\theta)^\top,$$

$$l_k(G(\theta,h), 0) = 2h\pi(\cos^2\theta, \sin^2\theta)^\top, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then, the periodic transformation

$$(x,y)^\top = G(\theta,h) = \sqrt{2h}(\sin\theta,\cos\theta)^\top$$

transforms (59) into the following impulsive differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot\theta = 1 + \dfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon\sin 2\theta, & \\ \dot h = 2\varepsilon h\sin^2\theta, & t \neq 2k\pi \\ \Delta\theta_k = \sqrt{\dfrac{h(2k\pi)}{2}}\pi\varepsilon\sin 2\theta(2k\pi)[\sin\theta(2k\pi) - \cos\theta(2k\pi)] + O(\varepsilon^2), & \\ \Delta h_k = 4\pi\varepsilon h(2k\pi)\sqrt{2h(2k\pi)}[\sin^3\theta(2k\pi) + \cos^3\theta(2k\pi)] + O(\varepsilon^2), \quad k = 0,\pm1,\pm2,\cdots & \end{cases} \tag{61}$$

By (46) and (55), for $2(k-1)\pi < \bar t_0 \le 2k\pi$ with any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(\bar t_0, r) &= 2\pi r[1 + 2\sqrt{2r}(\cos^3\bar t_0 + \sin^3\bar t_0)], \\ N(\bar t_0, r) &= \pi\sqrt{\frac{r}{2}}\sin 2\bar t_0(\sin\bar t_0 - \cos\bar t_0). \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Therefore, solving the equation

$$M(t_0^*, h_0) = N(t_0^*, h_0) = 0, \ \ t_0^* \in [0, 2\pi], \ \ h_0 > 0, \tag{63}$$

we can obtain three solutions $(t_0^*, h_0) = (\frac{5}{4}\pi, \frac{1}{4})$, $(\pi, \frac{1}{8})$ or $(\frac{3}{2}\pi, \frac{1}{8})$. Moreover, the Jacobi determinant

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \left|\begin{matrix} \dfrac{\partial M}{\partial \bar t_0} & \dfrac{\partial M}{\partial r} \\ \dfrac{\partial N}{\partial \bar t_0} & \dfrac{\partial N}{\partial r} \end{matrix}\right|_{(t_0^*, h_0)} \\ &= \left|\begin{matrix} 2\pi + 3\sqrt{2h_0}\pi(\cos^3 t_0^* - \sin^3 t_0^*) & 6\sqrt{2h_0^3}\sin 2t_0^*(\cos t_0^* - \sin t_0^*) \\ 0 & \pi\sqrt{2h_0}(\cos t_0^* + \sin t_0^*)(3\sin t_0^*\cos t_0^* - 1) \end{matrix}\right| \\ &= \frac{3}{2}\pi^2 h_0(\sin 2t_0^* + 1)(\sin 2t_0^* - 2)(3\sin 2t_0^* - 2) \neq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

for all the three solutions.

Therefore, by theorem 3.2, system (59) has three harmonic solutions $x_\varepsilon(t)$, $y_\varepsilon(t)$ and $z_\varepsilon(t)$ with the property

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to0} x_\varepsilon(t) = q(t - \frac{5}{4}\pi, \frac{1}{4}) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\Big(\cos(t - 5\pi/4), \sin(t - 5\pi/4)\Big)^\top,$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to0} y_\varepsilon(t) = q(t - \pi, \frac{1}{8}) = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\cos(t-\pi), \sin(t-\pi)\Big)^\top = -\frac{1}{2}\Big(\cos t, \sin t\Big)^\top,$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to0} z_\varepsilon(t) = q(t - \frac{3}{2}\pi, \frac{1}{8}) = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\cos(t - \frac{3}{2}\pi), \sin(t - \frac{3}{2}\pi)\Big)^\top = -\frac{1}{2}\Big(\sin t, \cos t\Big)^\top,$$

respectively.

References

[1] S. Wiggins, P. Holmes. Periodic orbits in slowly varying oscillations. SIAM J. Math. Anal. Appl., 18(1987)3, 592-611.

[2] S. Wiggins. Introduction to Applied Nonlinear Dynamical Systems and Chaos. Springer, New York, 1990.

[3] C. Chicone. Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction and Melnikov integrals for bifurcation of periodic solutions in coupled oscillators. J. Diff. Eqs. 112(1994), 407-447.

[4] J. Guckenheimer, P. Holmes. Nonlinear Oscillations, Dynamical Systems and Bifurcations of Vector Fields. Springer, New York, 1983.

[5] M. Han. Bifurcations of invariant Tori and subharmonic solutions for perturbed systems. Sci. in China(Ser.A) 11(1994), 1325-1336.

[6] M. Han. Bifurcation theory of invariant Tori of planar periodic perturbed systems. Sci. in China(Ser.A) 5(1996), 509-519.

[7] M. Han, K. Jiang. Bifurcation from a periodic orbit to a Torus. Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete Impulsive Systems 1(1995), 267-281.

[8] M. Han, K. Jiang, David Greenm Jr.. Bifurcations of periodic orbits, subharmonic solutions and invariant Tori of high-dimensional systems. Nonlinear Analysis 36(1999), 319-329.

[9] M. Han. Periodic solutions and bifurcation theory of dynamical systems. Science Press, Beijing, 2002.

[10] K. Jiang, M. Han. Boundeness of solutions and existence of limit cycles for a nonlinear system. Nonlin. Anal. TMA 26(1996), 1995-2006.

[11] D.D. Bainov, P.S. Simenov. Impulsive Differential Equations: Periodic solutions and Applications. Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, 1993.

[12] V. Lakshimikantham, X. Liu. Stability criteria for impulsive differential equations in terms of two measures. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 137(1989), 591-604.

[13] D.D. Bainov and P.S. Simeonov. Impulsive differential equations: periodic solutions and applications. London Scientific and Technical, New York, 1993.

[14] Z. Hu, M. Han. Periodic solutions and bifurcations of first-order periodic impulsive differential equations. Int. J. Bifurcation and Chaos, 19(2009)8, 2515-2530.